

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 223 [3-1450 invw.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/009,483 12/13/2001 Atsushi Okada 216823USOXPCT 1812 22850 06/15/2004 7590 **EXAMINER** OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. TRAN LIEN, THUY 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1761

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

72	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/009,483	OKADA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lien T Tran	1761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITIO	N FOR ALLOWANC ation. A proper reply	CE. / to a
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  HE FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriately set in the final of the	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):	
(b) Ithey raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	• ·	rially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	•	, ,	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	☐ will be entered ar w or appended.	ıd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			1
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		•	
0. Other:		 	1
		Centran	
		PRIMARY EXAMINER	
		Choup 1700	

Application No. 110/009,483

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitations of " in the absence of added liquid" and " free of added liquid" were not claimed previously. This raises new issue. Also, the amendment raises new 112 issue.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The argument is not persuasive for reason of record. The argument directed at the new claims is not persuasive because the amendment was not entered. Additionally, the wet crumb referred to in Bernacchi et al is crumb which has not been dried because Bernacchi et al disclosed "the dispersion may be applied to wet crumb and then the crumb and coating dried". This crumb is equivalent to the fresh bread crumb claimed because the specification discloses the crumb has not been dried. The difference is in the terminology used..